

71-0284

29 JAN 1971

Mr. John F. Haley
Staff Director
Joint Committee on Printing
United States Senate Post Office
Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Mr. Haley:

Thank you for your letter of January 15, 1971 and your invitation to comment upon the proofs of "Government Printing & Binding Regulations," No. 21.

While we have no suggestions for improvement of the regulations, we do appreciate your courtesy in referring them to us for review.

Sincerely,

Signed: John F. Blake

John F. Blake
Director of Logistics

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee

(1) - OLC

2 - DD/S

1 - OL/PSD (Official), w/background

1 - OL Files, w/cy background

1 - D/L Chrono

☒ UNCLASSIFIED

☐ INTERNAL USE ONLY

☐ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM: [REDACTED] Office of Legislative Counsel		EXTENSION 6136	NO. PAC 71-0030
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)		DATE 19 January 1971	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
		OFFICER'S INITIALS	
1. [REDACTED] DDS	RECEIVED	DATE 20 Jan 71	<p>1. Regarding the attached request from the Joint Committee on Printing, as you are aware, the Director of Logistics, in the past, has handled correspondence concerning proposed printing binding regulations.</p> <p>2. As we recall, proposed Regulation No. 20 established a requirement that Agency heads sign correspondence to the Joint Committee on Printing.</p> <p>3. Please let us know your recommendations for handling, noting that the Committee would like a response no later than 1 February 1971.</p> <p>2 to 4:</p> <p>Per our telephone discussion 21 January, suggest we handle this as in the past. This is a general circularization that goes out whenever the Government Printing and Binding Regulations are being considered for revision. The regulation you mention also permits "...an official designated by" the Agency head to sign correspondence to the Joint Committee on Printing. I feel that the signature of the D/L is entirely appropriate for the attached.</p> <p>As I mentioned, we do not consider this Agency to be bound by these regulations, although</p>
2. [REDACTED] Printing Services Division	RECEIVED	DATE 1/21	
3. [REDACTED] DDS 7-D-18 Headquarters Bldg.	RECEIVED	DATE	
4. [REDACTED] OLC 7-D-35 Headquarters Bldg.	RECEIVED	DATE	
5. Mr. John F. Blake, D/L 1206 Ames Building	RECEIVED	DATE	
6.	RECEIVED	DATE	
7.	RECEIVED	DATE	
8.	RECEIVED	DATE	
9.	RECEIVED	DATE	
10.	RECEIVED	DATE	
11.	RECEIVED	DATE	
12.	RECEIVED	DATE	
13.	RECEIVED	DATE	
14.	RECEIVED	DATE	
15.	RECEIVED	DATE	

COMMENTS (Continued)

we do not deviate from them promiscuously. We try to keep the Staff Director informed in general concerning our equipment, facilities and operations; we serve on the Electronics Subcommittee; and we attend social and professional functions when invited.

Since creation of this Division in the Office of Logistics, we have enjoyed an excellent relationship with Jack Haley and his predecessor, Jim Harrison, and I am confident that it will continue in this vein.

2 to 5:

For signature and release.